ORDER SHEET WEST BENGAL ADMINISTRATIVE TRIBUNAL

Present-

The Hon'ble Justice Soumitra Pal (Chairman)

& The Hon'ble P. Ramesh Kumar (Administrative Member)

Case No. OA-416 OF 2019 BHOLANATH MAITRA VS. THE STATE OF WEST BENGAL & ORS.

Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
<u> </u>	For the Applicant : Mrs.S.Agarwal Advocate	
	For the Respondents : Mr.B.P.Roy Mr.M.N.Roy Advocates	
	Affidavit of service filed today be kept on	
	record. In this application, the applicant, who had	
	applied for the post of Constable in the year 2001 and	
	unsuccessful, has, inter alia, prayed for certain reliefs,	
	the relevant portion of which is as under:	
	a) A Mandatory Order directing the Superintendent of	
	Police, Nadia to consider the representation made by	
	the applicant on 9 th March, 2019 in respect of his	
	selection for the post of Constable in West Bengal	
	Police as per Notification No. 2702-PL/P1/8C-10/09	
	(Part I) dated 16.07.2010 issued by the Government	
	of West Bengal Home (Police) Department by	
	condoning his age bar and to act in accordance with	
	law.	
	Mrs.S.Agarwal, learned advocate appearing on	
	behalf of the applicant submits that since pursuant to	

ORDER SHEET

Form No.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No.		
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	an application under the Right to Information Act	
	2005, it is evident that as per notification dated 16 th	
	July, 2010 issued by the Government of West Bengal,	
	Home (Police) Department, the applicant has to	
	undergo long distance run of 1600 meters,	
	disqualifying him for the post for being unsuccessful	
	in the long jump is arbitrary and illegal and hence	
	appropriate direction may be passed on the State	
	respondents directing recruitment to the post of	
	Constable.	
	Mr.B.P.Roy, learned advocate appearing on	
	behalf of the State respondents submits that the issue	
	is covered by the judgement of the High Court passed	
	on 25 th June, 2014 in the case of the applicant in	
	WPST No. 89 of 2011 in Re: Bholanath Maitra Vs. The	
	State of West Bengal and Others and therefore, this	
	application is not maintainable as it is hit by the	
	principles of res judicata.	
	Heard Mrs.Agarwal and Mr.Roy, learned	
	advocates for the parties. Perused the judgement dated	
	25 th June, 2014 in WPST 89 of 2011. We find the same	
	issue came up for hearing in the said writ petition	

ORDER SHEET

Form No.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Serial No. and	Order of the Tribunal with signature	Office action with date
Date of order.	2	and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	wherein it was, inter alia held as under:	
	" Thus, the Petitioner has been found unfit	
	for being appointed as a constable in view of the physical	
	efficiency test which he underwent.	
	It has been argued on behalf of the Petitioner that he	
	was made to perform the long jump without there being any	
	such requirement in the selection test. The selection rules	
	applicable to the Petitioner would obviously be those which	
	were in force in 2002 i.e., the Rule notified on 7 th January,	
	1992. The Rules included – 100 meters run, 800 meters run	
	within the stipulated period of time and the long jump of a	
	particular length. It has been contended on behalf of the	
	Petitioner that under the Right to Information Act he was	
	supplied rules which did not indicate that a candidate was	
	required to perform the long jump for being selected as a	
	constable.	
	We have seen the notification dated 7 th January,	
	1992 and there can be no manner of doubt that the physical	
	efficiency test required the Petitioner to perform the hundred	
	metre run in 14.5 seconds, eight hundred metres run in 2	
	minutes 50 seconds and the long jump of 4.57 metres. The	
	Petitioner, having failed to pass the physical efficient test,	

ORDER SHEET

Form No.

Vs.

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Case No.		
Serial No. and Date of order. 1	Order of the Tribunal with signature 2	Office action with date and dated signature of parties when necessary 3
	has rightly been refused employment. The writ petition is dismissed with no order as to costs	
	hit by the principles of res judicata and is not maintainable. Therefore, the original application is dismissed.	
	(P. RAMESH KUMAR) (SOUMITRA PAL) MEMBER (A) CHAIRMAN	
BLR		